



CONFIDENTIAL

ecology and environment, inc.

101 YESLER WAY, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON, 98104, TEL. 206/624-9537

International Specialists in the Environment

MEMORANDUM

DATE: August 19, 1988

TO: John Osborn, FIT-RPO, USEPA, Region X

THRU: GJeffrey Villnow, FIT-OM, E&E, Seattle

FROM: NJohn J. Roland, FIT-PM, E&E, Seattle

SUBJ: Site Inspection Reassessment/

Preliminary HRS Score for Alcoa-Wenatchee Works Wenatchee, Washington

REF: TDD F10-8806-08

PAN F10Z062SA

CC: William Glasser, HWD-SM, USEPA, Region X

David Bennett, HWD, USEPA, Region X

A file review for the Alcoa-Wenatchee Works Site has been conducted to assess the previously conducted Site Inspection (SI) and to develop a preliminary HRS score. Using the file and additional information, a preliminary HRS score of 18.55 was calculated based on the following information:

- o A landfill was operated at the Alcoa-Wenatchee site from 1952 until 1979. This landfill was used for the disposal of 40,000 tons of spent potliners (which contain some heavy metals), an unknown quantity of asbestos and possibly trichloroethylene. In 1980 a new landfill was constructed with a PVC liner. The new landfill receives spent potliners from the Alcoa-Wenatchee site and an additional 3,500 tons of spent potliners (which is classified as extremely hazardous due to a bioassay test) from another site.
- o Ground water occurs at approximately 86 feet below the ground surface. Ground water is used locally for drinking and services 1,620 people. Ground water is also used for irrigation, however, the specific acreage is unknown.
- o The nearest surface water is the Columbia River, approximately 800 feet from the landfill. The Columbia River is used for agricultural irrigation, industrial, and recreational purposes.

USEPA SF

SI Reassessment/Preliminary HRS Score for Alcoa-Wenatchee Works Page 2 Assumptions used to derive the score include: o It was not clear from the file if the 40,000 tons of spent potliners material is a hazardous waste as the pot liners passed the EP Toxicity test but do contain heavy metals. For this assessment they were assumed hazardous due to heavy metal content: o No observed release to ground water, surface water, or air; o It was assumed the landfill cover is inadequate containment; o No sensitive environment is known to exist within three miles of the site; and o It was assumed that there is a surface water intake two to three miles downgradient from the site and that it services 1-100 people. Three on-site wells were sampled in 1987 by the Department of Ecology. At that time the two monitoring wells in the vicinity of the landfill were dry and could not be sampled. One well inside the plant showed elevated cyanide levels of 0.01 mg/l. Additional information (i.e., surface water uses and local ground water quality) may be needed to verify the assumptions used to obtain this score. An observed release to ground water could raise the HRS score to 46.06. Due to the high potential score further investigation is recommended for this site. The site has limited access and the landfills are covered, therefore the site score should not increase significantly under the HRS II. This site is a medium priority. JJR:rls

Facility name: AL COA WENAT CHEE
LOCATION: WENATCHEE, WA
EPA Region:
Person(s) in charge of the facility:
Name of Reviewer Roland Date: 3/15/83
General description of the facility: (For example: landfilf, surface impoundment, pile, container; types of hazardous substances; location of the
racing, contamination route or major concern; types of information needed for rating; agency action, etc.)
Site has two landfills at which
spent polliners are blegorited. One latellill
0-11
is lined (newer one) and one is not.
Low level (0.01 mg/L) cyanide has been
detected in or on site well, Depth to
2000 de tar (100 / 10)
ground water < 100 feet below grand lead
Scores: SM = 18595 gw = 31.84 Ssw =4,04 Sa = 6)
S _{FE} =
S _{DC} =

FIGURE 1 HRS COVER SHEET

6 59			Ground Water Route Work S				
		Rating Factor	Assigned Value (Circle One)	Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section)
		1 Observed Release	0 45	1		45	3.1
	ı.		ven a score of 45, proceed to line ven a score of 0, proceed to line [
	state water 81	Route Characteristics Depth to Aquifer of Concern	0 (3) 2 3	2	2	6	3.2
19 m	blow Rough	Net Precipitation Luckar Permeability of the Luckar Unsaturated Zone	(P) 1 2 3 0 (f) 2 3	1 1	0	3	
	t d	all port Physical State	0 1 2 3	1	3	3	
L	id world		Total Route Characteristics Score	•	6	15	
maged une	rid, erried trid-leachate	3 Containment	0 1 2 3	1	3	3	3.3
		Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste	12 bester 15 2 heavy metatr 2 N methyll 0 3 6 9 12 (3) 18 published 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	@ !	15 18	18	3.4
	pottmers into classified as a	tremely hay due to trouse test to the tremely hay due to trouse test diverse en present potents you conton	ichlorollylene whom quanty of i luis sandfill nod sput potheries that contain eN b	Appased ERH	x + bloco	n	
	astert	relined pet.	Total Waste Characteristics Score	•	23	26	
	druiting + in	Ground Water Use Distance to Nearest Well/Population	0 1 2 3 0 4 6 8 10 12 16 18 20 24 30 32 35 40	3	9	9 40	3.5
2 cons	its with wad to	16 darp englapea	Total Targets Score		39	49	
54 16	andones y is 3 ml	6 If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply		18	252	57.330	
6 400	- wings	7 Divide line 6 by 57.33	0 and multiply by 100	Sgw =	28:16	31.8	4
well or	nsult 4		FIGURE 2				

FIGURE 2
GROUND WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

		Surface Water	r Route Work Sh	eet	and Arthur		
	Rating Factor	Assigne (Circle		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
	1 Observed Release	0	45	1		45	4.1
	If observed release is given If observed release is given	n a value of 45, p	proceed to line 2].			
mark 43	Route Characteristics Accility Slope and Interventage Terrain	ning (1) 1 2	3	1	0	3	4.2
the land just the	1-5 1-yr. 24-hr. Rainfall CO Distance to Nearest Surfa	0 ① 2 ace 0 1 2	3	1 2	12	3 6	
	Physical State	0 1 2	3	1	3	3	
11.11 To coone		Total Route Cha	racteristics Score		10	15	
mobil or covered	3 Containment	0 1 2	3	1	1	3	4.3
drome a	Waste Characteristics Toxicity/Persistence Hazardous Waste Quantity	0 3 6 :0 1 2	9 12 13 18 3 4 5 6 7 (1 1	15/18	18 8	4.4
		Total Waste Chai	acteristics Score	Y. I	23	26	
niel a vi	Surface Water Use Distance to a Sensitive Environment Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream	8 1	2 3 2 3	3 2	6	9	4.5
	Population Served/Distance to Water Intake Downstream	0 4 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 3 1 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	6 8 10 8 20 2 35 40	1	4	40	
man an	Downstream Spiritual of the sales Spiritual of the s	Total Targ	ets Score		10	55	
heren 200 es	6 If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply		x 5		2300	64,350	
	7 Divide line 6 by 64,350 a	and multiply by 10	0	Ssw =_	202	4.64	

FIGURE 7
SURFACE WATER ROUTE WORK SHEET

no sampling landfell covered

				Air A	oute	Work Sh	eet				
	Rating Factor		A	ssigi (Circ		/alue ne)		Multi- plier	Score	Max. Score	Ref.
1	Observed Release	•	0			45		1		45	5.1
	Date and Location	n:						16			
	Sampling Protoco	1:									
			D. Enter of								
2	Waste Characteris Reactivity and Incompatibility	itics	0	1 :	2 3			1		3	5.2
	- Toxicity Hazardous Waste Quantity			1 2	2 3	4 5 (7 8	3		9	
2			Total Was	te Cr	arac	teristics	Score			20	
3	Targets Population Within		10	9 12	15	10					5.3
	4-Mile Radius		121 :					1		30	
	Distance to Sens	itive	0	1 2	3			2		6	
	Land Use		0	1 2	3			1		3	
		342 v	Tota	al Ta	rget	Score				39	
4	Multiply 1 x 2) × 3	Tota	ai Ta	rget	Score				39 35.100	

FIGURE 9
AIR ROUTE WORK SHEET

	S	s ²
Groundwater Route Score (Sgw)	28-16 31.84	792.98
Surface Water Route Score (Ssw)	3-574.04	16.32
Air Route Score (Sa)	0	805.7
$s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2$		805.7
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2}$		28.38
$\sqrt{s_{gw}^2 + s_{sw}^2 + s_a^2} / 1.73 = s_M =$		18.55

FIGURE 10
WORKSHEET FOR COMPUTING SM

Sm = 40.75 if observed release to 600



			Fire	and	1 E	plo	310	n W	ork	Sheet				
	Rating Factor				ign						Multi- piler	Score	Max. Score	Ref. (Section
0	Containment	ent 1 3					1		3	7.1				
2	Waste Characterist Direct Evidence Ignitability Reactivity Incompatibility Hazardous Waste Quantity	ıcs	0 0 0 0 0	1	-	3		5	6	7 8	1 1 1 1 1		3 3 3 3 8	7.2
		To	otal Was	ste	Cha	arac	ter	istic	s S	coré			20	
3	Targets Distance to Neare	st	0	1	2	3	4	5			1		5	7.3
	Population Distance to Neare Building	st	0	1	2	3					1		3	
	Distance to Sensit	ive.	0	1	2	3					1		3	
	Land Use Population Within 2-Mile Radius		0	1 1	2 2	3	4	5			1		3 5	
	Buildings Within 2-Mile Radius		0	1	2	3	4	5			1		5	
			Tot	al 1	Targ	ets	Sc	ore			T	T	24	
4	Multiply 1 x 2	x 3											1,440	
5	Divide line 4 by	1.440 and	multiply	ру	10	0				S	FE =			

FIGURE 11
FIRE AND EXPLOSION WORK SHEET

	Rating Factor		Signi			Multi-	Score	Max. Score	Ref.
1	Observed Incident	0			45	1		45	8.1
	If line 1 is 45, proceed to								
2	Accessibility	0	1 2	3		1		3	8.2
3	Containment	0	15			1		15	8.3
4	Waste Characteristics Toxicity	0	1 2	3		5		15	8.4
3	Targets Population Within a 1-Mile Radius	0	1 2	3	4 5	4		20	8.5
	Distance to a Critical Habitat		1 2	3		•		12	
		Total	al Ta	gets	Score		. 5 20	32	
6	If line 1 is 45, multiply If line 1 is 0, multiply 2				[5]			21.600	

FIGURE 12 DIRECT CONTACT WORK SHEET